Ethical principles concerning proportionality of critical care during the 2020 COVID-19 pandemic in Belgium: advice by the Belgian Society of Intensive care medicine
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The Belgian Society of Intensive Care Medicine, has been invited to delineate the ethical principles concerning proportionality of care during the 2020 COVID-19 pandemic. A national ethical guideline for in-hospital triage of COVID-19 patients is not the mandate of the Belgian Society of Intensive Care Medicine. It is recommended that each hospital drafts their own ethical guideline early in the epidemic, to avoid that clinicians will have to take difficult decisions without ethical guidance, leading to arbitrary decisions.

Introduction
In the intensive care unit, invasive life-sustaining and life-saving therapies are applied to patients who would not survive without such aggressive care, because of vital organ failure. In general, intensive care medicine should be reserved for patients in whom a good or at least acceptable outcome can be expected, after hospital discharge. Disproportionate care is defined as the use of such advanced life-sustaining measures in patients with poor long-term expectations secondary to more chronic organ dysfunctions, comorbidities and/or a poor quality of life [1]. Under normal circumstances, even when there is no pressure on ICU beds, disproportionate care should be avoided at all times. Most patients in Europe who die in the intensive care unit, will do so after a decision not to initiate or to withdraw life-sustaining therapies [2]. Such a decision should be openly discussed with patients, or their relatives. In the intensive care unit, such ethical considerations are part of routine between advanced life-sustaining therapies and expected outcome is made on a daily basis.

COVID-19: special considerations
The COVID-19 pandemic poses a major strain on the health care system, because of the absence of herd immunity against this new virus [3]. In a very short time, patients requiring respiratory support present to the hospital. In Italy, the capacity of the health care system was insufficient to deal with the huge number of patients, leading to a collapse of the healthcare system. In particular, the amount of critical care beds was a critical bottleneck of the system, and current projections of the trend in the near future are alarming [4].

Such an extreme overload of the hospital system will have important ethical implications, as treating physicians will need to decide which patients to admit, and which patients will be denied critical care. A first priority is to take the right measures to maximize capacity in the intensive care units of all hospitals, by postponing non-urgent medical care, and transforming non-critical units into critical care units. However, when in spite of these measures, there is still not enough capacity to treat all presenting patients, there is a need for triage [5]. The timely identification of disproportionate care is extremely important, because in case of hospital overload, it can be imagined that a patient with a good chance of survival is denied critical care, while too many patients with disproportionate care are occupying a bed.
Disproportionate care should be defined on a scientifically founded estimate of the expected outcome, which implies knowledge of an advanced care plan, the medical condition of the patient, the antecedents, the acute evolution of his condition, and a founded estimate of his prognosis with and without intensive care. In addition, non-COVID-19 patients should be evaluated according to the same criteria in order to avoid discrimination between both groups. Although an increased age is associated with worse outcomes in COVID-19, age in isolation cannot be used for triage decisions, but should be integrated with other clinical parameters. Frailty and reduced cognition, more than age, are independent predictors of outcome when elderly patients are admitted to the ICU [6].

The COVID-19 Critical Care Ethical taskforce of the Belgian Society of Intensive Care Medicine proposes the following recommendations:

1. Advanced care planning before ICU admission

Elderly residents in retirement homes often suffer from severe cognitive, physical, or social disabilities that are incompatible with an independent life at home. Many of these patients suffer from moderate to severe frailty [7]. In view of the predicted acute overload of the Belgian healthcare system during the COVID-19 epidemic, the Belgian Society of Intensive Care Medicine recommends that patients for whom critical care would be disproportionate, are identified early, to avoid that they are sent to an overcrowded hospital unnecessarily. Hence, an advanced care plan should be discussed with residents of retirement homes, or their relatives, in advance. In the acute phase, this is no longer possible because of the medical condition of the patient. In addition, it would be ethically and emotionally undesirable to request families to make such a difficult decision in the acute setting.

The Belgian Society of Intensive Care Medicine recommends that this care plan pre-specifies which interventions are considered, or which interventions would be undesirable, for a particular patient. We would recommend that this advanced care plan contains, at least, statements whether or not it is desirable to initiate:

- cardiopulmonary resuscitation
- admission to the hospital
- admission to an intensive care unit
- endotracheal intubation
- non-invasive mechanical ventilation
- pharmacological hemodynamic support
- the initiation of renal replacement therapy.

Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation (ECMO) should never be considered in this age group regardless of COVID-19.

If possible and feasible, it is recommended that the general practitioner of the patient proactively takes the initiative to discuss advanced care planning with these patients, preferably before they become infected with the SARS-CoV-19 virus or suffer from COVID-19. For most elderly patients who reside in a retirement home with severe cognitive impairment, keeping the patient in the nursing home for symptomatic therapy could be a reasonable and human option. In general, referral of these patients to a potentially overstretched hospital should only be considered with a clearly defined realistic therapeutic goal, and referral to the intensive care unit is not advised.
2. Out-of-hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation
Out-of-hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation (basic and advanced life support) in a known COVID-19 positive patient with active disease is not advised, because of the risk of contamination for the healthcare worker is not in proportion to the low expected outcome. Of course, this situation will be rare and can be avoided by, for instance, an early warning score.

3. Ethical considerations for triage in-hospital
The Belgian Society of Intensive Care Medicine recommends that the following considerations should be taken into account:

- Decisions to deny or prioritize care should always be discussed with at least 2, but preferably 3 physicians with experience in the treatment of respiratory failure in the ICU. In case the doctor is not able to consult with a colleague in the hospital, a teleconsultation with an experienced colleague within the same hospital network could be organized. Consultation with a geriatrician or the general practitioner of the patient could be an option.
- Many COVID-19 patients will be elderly, but age in itself is not a good criterion to decide on disproportionate care.
- It should be assessed upon admission whether a patient has an advanced care plan.
- In elderly patients, frailty, for instance using the Clinical Frailty Score (CFS) [7], should be assessed and taken into account.
- In elderly patients, cognitive impairment should be assessed and taken into account.
- In all patients, terminal oncological disease, and severe chronic co-morbidity such as end-stage organ failure (dialysis, heart failure, liver cirrhosis,...), should be assessed and taken into account.
- Priorities should be decided based on medical urgency.
- In case of comparable medical urgency, the “first come first serve” principle, and the “random” criterion, are the most useful and fair criteria [8].
- A register of triage decisions is kept for transparency and evaluation. This registry can be used for transparency, and evaluation after the pandemic.
- The impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on triage decisions of non-COVID patients should be addressed.
- Physicians involved in triage should be offered psychological support. This support should continue until after the crisis, and involve an ethical debriefing [9].
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