

Société belge d'infectiologie et de microbiologie clinique

Belgische vereniging voor infectiologie en klinische microbiologie

Catheter-related infections: practical aspects in 2003

A joint meeting of the Société Belge d'Infectiologie et de Microbiologie Clinique / Belgische Vereniging voor Infectiologie en Klinische Microbiologie (21st meeting) and the Groupement pour le Dépistage, l'Etude et la Prévention des Infections Hospitalières / Group ter Opsporing, Studie en Preventie van Infecties in de Ziekenhuizen Thursday 20th November 2003

The slides presented at this meeting are available on this site as "Web slide shows" and as ".PDF files". They reflect the views of their authors and should not be taken as being endorsed by the organizers and/or the organizing societies. They are presented for information purposes only. They cannot be reproduced or used for any form of presentations without the autorization of their author and of the SBIMC/BVIKM.

Please, contact the SBIMC-BVIKM Webmaster (<u>webmaster@sbimc-bvikm.org</u>) for further information. Prevention of catheter-related infection *Critical review of CDC* guidelines

> Dr. K. Magerman Virga Jesseziekenhuis, Hasselt

Introduction

- Revised guidelines of the CDC were published on August 9, 2002
- Guidelines replace the guideline which was published in 1996
- Working group led by Society of Critical Care Medicine, in collaboration with IDSA, SHEA, SIS, ACCP, ATS, ASCCA, APIC, INS, ONS, SCVIR, AAP and HICPAC of CDC

Introduction

- From 1996 until 2001more than 2000 articles on "sepsis AND prevention" were published
- 293 references in the 2002 guideline :
 - 125 new references (27 randomized controlled studies, 4 meta-analyses)
 - 168 references from the 1996 guideline (15 randomized controlled studies, 1 meta-analysis)

Categories of recommendations

I A. Strongly recommended for implementation and strongly supported by well-designed experimental, clinical or epidemiologic studies.

I B. Strongly recommended for implementation and supported by some experimental, clinical or epidemiologic studies, and a strong theretical rationale.

Categories of recommendations

- I C. Required by state or federal regulations, rules, or standards
- **II.** Suggested for implementation and supported by suggestive clinical or epidemiological studies or a theoretical rationale
- **Unresolved issue.** Represents an unresolved issue for which evidence is insufficient or no consensus regarding efficacy exists.

- Educating and training of health care providers
 - Educate (IA)
 - Assess knowledge of and adherence to guidelines periodically for all persons who insert and manage intravascular catheters (IA)
 - Ensure appropriate nursing staff levels in ICU (IB)

- Using full barrier precautions during central venous catheter insertion
 - Use aseptic technique including the use of a cap, mask, sterile gloves, and a large sterile sheet, for the insertion of CVC or guidewire exchange (IA)
 - (Raad II et al., Infect. Control Hosp Epidemiol. 1994; 15:231-8)

- Using a 2% chlorhexidine preparation for skin antisepsis
 - Although a 2 % chlorhexidine-based preparation is prefered, tincture of iodine, an iodophor, or 70 % alcohol can be used (IA)
 - No recommendation can be made for the use of chlorhexidine in infants aged < 2 months (unresolved issue)

Study	Catheter related bloodstream infection		
	CHG	Povidone-I	RR using CHG
Maki, 1991	1/214	6/227	0.18 (0.02-1.46)
Sheehan, 1993	1/169	1/177	1.05 (0.07-16.61)
Meffre, 1995	3/568	3/549	0.97 (0.20-4.77)
Mimoz, 1996	3/170	4/145	0.64 (0.15-2.81)
Legras, 1997	0/208	4/249	0.13 (0.01-2.45)
Humar,2000	4/193	5/181	0.75 (0.20-2.75)
Knasinski,2000	5/349	20/500	0.36 (0.14-0.95)

All studies

0.49 (0.28-0.88)

N. Chalyakunapruk, Chlorhexidine compared with povidone-iodine solution for vascular catheter-site care: a meta-analysis; Annals of Internal Medicine; 2002; 136 (11): 792-801

- Different types of chg were used:
 - 0.5-1 % chg alcoholic solution
 - 0.5-2 % chg aqueous solution
- Only the subset of the 5 studies in which alcoholic solution was used produced a statistically significant reduction in catheter-related bloodstream infections
- FDA approved a 2 % tincture of chg preparation for skin antisepsis
- "other preparations of chlorhexidine may not be as effective"

- *Replacement of intravascular catheters for prevention of infection*
 - Do not routinely replace central venous or arterial catheters solely for the purposes of reducing incidence of infection. (IB)
 - Replace peripheral venous catheters at least every 72-96 hours in adults to prevent phlebitis. Leave peripheral venous catheters in place in children until IV therapy iscompleted, unless complications. (IB)

- References:
 - Cobb et al., NEJM 1992; 327:1062-8 low number of patients
 - Cook et al., Crit Care Med 1997; 25:1417-24
 objective of the study: to evaluate the effect of guidewire exchange and new-site replacement strategies
 - Thomas et al., Crit Care Med 1983;11:807-12 objective of the study: to evaluate the risk of infection related to radial vs femoral arterial catheterisation

- Using antiseptic/antibiotic impregnated short-term central venous catheters
 - Use an antimicrobial or antiseptic-impregnated CVC in adults whose catheter is expected to remain in place >5 days if, after implementing a comprehensive strategy to reduce rates of CRBSI, the CRBSI rate remains above the goal set by the individual institution based on benchmark rates and local factors

- Comprehensive strategy should include:
 - Education
 - Maximal sterile precautions
 - 2 % chlorhexidine preparation for skin antisepsis during CVC insertion
- Which catheter ?
 - K.D. Marciante et al., AJIC, 2003;31:1-8, Which antimicrobial impregnated CVC should we use ? Modeling the costs and outcomes of antimicrobial catheter use

Conclusion: minocycline/rifampin are cost-effective for patients catheterized for at least 1 week and lead to overall cost savings when patients are catheterized for 2 weeks or longer • Controversy remains:

McConnell et al., CID, 2003;37:65-72, Do antimicrobial-impregnated CVC prevent catheter-related bloodstream infection ?
a review of eleven randomized studies revealed several methodological flaws and failed to demonstrate any significant clinical benefit

Novel strategies ?

- Antibiotic lock solutions
 - Do not routinely use antibiotic lock solutions to prevent CRBSI. Use prophylactic antibiotic lock solutions only in special circumstances (e.g. in treating a patient with a long-term cuffed or tunneled catheter or port who has a history of multiple CRBSIs despite optimal maximal adherence to aseptic technique). (II)

 Catheter lock solution (e.g. a combination of citrate and taurolidine, a biocompatible antimicrobial agent) dramatically reduces the frequency of CRBSI among patients undergoing hemodialysis

conclusion

- Simple interventions can reduce the risk for serious catheter-related infection. Health care provider awareness and adherence to these prevention strategies is critical to reducing the risk for CRBSI, improving patient safety, and promoting quality health care
- Novel techniques should be used carefully